

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,571	10/09/2003		Takeshi Ikeda	22040-00020-US	2570	
30678	7590 12/07/2004			EXAMINER		
001:::0==	Y BOVE	LODGE & HUT	MOTTOLA, STEVEN J			
SUITE 800 1990 M STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20036-3425	2817			

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/605,57	1	IKEDA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Steven J. I	Mottola	2817						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION USIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu iod will apply and wil atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.					
Status										
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 November 20	<u>004</u> .							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is no	on-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.								
10) 🗌)) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen			4) Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate						
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	'O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/605,571

Art Unit: 2817

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,2 & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aude.

The language of the like rejection of the first Office action w.r.t. the reading of Aude on these claims is hereby incorporated herein by reference. In regard to the amendments and arguments made w.r.t. claims 1,2 & 5, "near" is a relative term and since the amplifier disclosed by Aude is an integrated circuit, all of the transistors may be regarded as 'near' one another.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/605,571

Art Unit: 2817

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aude.

The language of the like rejection of the first Office action is hereby incorporated herein by reference. No amendments or arguments specific to the language of this claim have been presented.

Claims 4,6 & 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aude in view of Ingrashi et al.

The language of the like rejection of claims 4 & 6 of the first Office action is hereby incorporated herein by reference. The amendment to claims 4 & 6 does not appear to alter the claim scope. In Ingrashi et al., the transistors Q15,Q16 are each connected at their source by separate leads to ground, which may itself be read broadly as the "place" of the claims. Regarding claims 9-13, the first two paragraphs of claim 9 may be read on Aude just as claim 1 above; the difference is the last paragraph of claim 9. It has already been explained that it would have been obvious to utilize the teaching

Application/Control Number: 10/605,571

Art Unit: 2817

from Ingrashi et al. of separate ground leads for the current sources in Aude. This of itself may be read as a means for suppressing as claimed by avoiding some transistors having longer ground leads that are extentions of others. Further, the arrangement would also reduce noise from parasitic impedances and thus reduce noise, aid linearity (by reducing noise) and stabilize high frequency operating characteristics (parasitic impedances increase with higher operating frequencies) thus meeting the functional limitations of claims 10-13.

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7-8 are allowed.

The common ground node being connected to each of the plurality of transistors by a dedicated separate ground line is not shown in the prior art of record in the context claimed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/605,571 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Mottola whose telephone number is 571-272-1766. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven J. Mottola Primary Examinar

for Mother